Evolution of terrestrial proto-CO$_2$ atmosphere coupled with thermal history of the earth
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ABSTRACT

The effect of volatile exchange between surface reservoirs and the mantle on the evolution of proto-CO$_2$ atmosphere on the Earth is investigated using a global carbon cycle model coupled with thermal evolution of the mantle. Carbon is assumed to circulate among five reservoirs (atmosphere, ocean, continents, seafloor and mantle) and the carbon flux of each reservoir is calculated under varying conditions, such as an increase in solar luminosity, continental growth, and a decrease in tectonic activity with time. We consider processes such as continental weathering, carbonate precipitation in the ocean, carbonate accretion to the continents, metamorphism of carbonates followed by CO$_2$ degassing through arc volcanism, carbon degassing into the mantle, and CO$_2$ degassing from the mantle. The degassing rate of volatiles from the mantle is assumed to be proportional to the volatile concentration in the mantle multiplied by the mantle degassing volume. The mantle degassing volume is determined by the seafloor spreading rate and the melt generation depth in the mantle. We use a parameterized convection model to calculate the thermal evolution of the mantle, from which we estimate the seafloor spreading rate and the melt generation depth in the mantle.

Numerical simulations suggest that the amount of surface carbon at the present time would be in a steady state. This is because the response time of the carbon cycle system against the perturbation for surface carbon is short, being estimated at about 900 million years under the present conditions. Thus the present amount of surface carbon would not be affected by the initial amount of surface carbon. The seafloor spreading rate would have been almost constant throughout the Earth's history to explain apparent constancy of the carbon isotope ratio in the mantle after about 3.5 billion years ago. The surface carbon might probably have been circulated between surface reservoirs and the mantle once or twice after the Archean period. In any event, CO$_2$ in the proto-atmosphere on the Earth is suggested to have decreased with the growth of continents, resulting in stabilization of the terrestrial environment against the increase in solar luminosity.

1. Introduction

A steam atmosphere was probably formed by impact degassing during accretion of the Earth and the surface of accreting Earth was covered with a magma ocean owing to the blanketing effect of this atmosphere [1-4]. At the end of accretion, the steam atmosphere became unstable with a decrease in impact energy flux and thus H$_2$O in the proto-atmosphere condensed to form the proto-oceans [5,6]. The atmosphere just after the formation of the proto-ocean was considered to be composed mainly of CO$_2$ because carbon would be the second most abundant volatile element in the accreting material, judging from the composition of chondrite meteorites [e.g., 7]. CO$_2$ is a greenhouse gas. Hence, the variation of CO$_2$ content in the atmosphere affects the surface temperature [8-11]. This indicates a potential importance of the CO$_2$ cycle among surface reservoirs in the evolution of the terrestrial surface environment, because the luminosity of the sun increased to its present level from a value that was 30% lower during the history of the Earth [12-15]. Therefore, as suggested in our
previous paper [16], the evolution of proto-$\text{CO}_2$ atmosphere plays a dominant role in the evolution of the terrestrial environment.

The amount of $\text{CO}_2$ in the atmosphere is regulated through the carbon cycle among the surface carbon reservoirs, such as the atmosphere, ocean and crust, and the interior of the Earth [16–19]. We recently investigated the effect of the carbon cycle on the terrestrial environment over the entire history of the Earth. We proposed that the $\text{CO}_2$ in the proto-atmosphere has decreased with growth of the continents, resulting in stabilization of the terrestrial environment [16]. This is because the continents supply a large quantity of cations to the oceans through weathering, followed by precipitation of more carbonate on the seafloor; this finally results in consumption of atmospheric $\text{CO}_2$. Because some seafloor carbonates accrete to the continents, the latter also act as a large sink for surface carbon. This means a decrease in the amount of carbon circulating within the surface layers because continental carbon has a very long residence time in the carbon cycle. As a consequence, $\text{CO}_2$ in the atmosphere reaches its present lower level ($\sim 10^{-4}$ bar) and this provides a mechanism that stabilizes the terrestrial environment against the increase in solar luminosity [16].

We ignored the role of the mantle in the evolution of the terrestrial environment in our previous paper. However, the mantle is considered to be another sink and source candidate for the surface carbon through the volatile exchange between the surface and the mantle. Degassing and regassing rates of volatile elements are probably dependent on the tectonic activity [20,21]. In our previous study, tectonic activity, such as the seafloor spreading rate, was simply considered to be proportional to the mantle heat flow, which is assumed to be proportional to the radiogenic heat production rate [16]. This is obviously too simple for discussing a degassing history because the mantle heat flow is actually related to the efficiency of mantle convection. In this study, we thus investigate the evolution of proto-$\text{CO}_2$ atmosphere using a global carbon cycle model coupled with the thermal history of the Earth.

2. Carbon cycle model

The carbon cycle was described in detail in our previous paper [16], and has also been discussed by various other authors [e.g., 17–19]. We shall, however, briefly present the carbon cycle here (Fig. 1).

$\text{CO}_2$ in the atmosphere dissolves into raindrops or groundwater and produces carbonic acid, which weathers the silicate and carbonate minerals on the land surface. Weathering reactions are expressed as follows:

$$2\text{CO}_2 + \text{CaSiO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{SiO}_2$$ (1)

![Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the global carbon cycle on the Earth. The black arrows represent the processes associated with the carbonate–silicate geochemical cycle [17–19]. The white arrows represent the additional processes comprising the global carbon cycle during the evolution of the Earth [16].](image)
$2\text{CO}_2 + \text{MgSiO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$
$\rightarrow \text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^- + \text{SiO}_2$  (2)

$\text{CO}_2 + \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^-$  (3)

$\text{CO}_2 + \text{MgCO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Mg}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^-$  (4)

These are just shown as typical expressions for silicate and carbonate weathering. We consider calcite and magnesite as carbonates, but here, for simplicity, not dolomite [16].

Bicarbonate ions and cations produced by the weathering are carried to the oceans by rivers and will react again with each other to form carbonates, as follows:

$\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3$  (5)

$\text{Mg}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightarrow \text{MgCO}_3$  (6)

This process is almost completely promoted by organic activity at present [e.g., 22]. It could, however, be conducted inorganically. The carbonates are deposited on the seafloor, where they move with the motion of the oceanic plates, and eventually reach the subduction zone. Some carbonates are metamorphosed under certain conditions of temperature and pressure, as follows:

$\text{CaCO}_3 + \text{SiO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CaSiO}_3 + \text{CO}_2$  (7)

$\text{MgCO}_3 + \text{SiO}_2 \rightarrow \text{MgSiO}_3 + \text{CO}_2$.  (8)

$\text{CO}_2$ is reproduced by this process and returns to the atmosphere through arc volcanism. This is the so-called “carbonate–silicate geochemical cycle” [17–19]. In addition to these processes, some carbonates at the subduction zone will be accreted to the continental crust in the form of accretionary prisms (or, alternatively, carbonates may also be precipitated directly onto the continental shelves), and other carbonates will be subducted into the mantle with the descending slab. Carbon in the mantle degasses at the mid-ocean ridge through plate formation. In this way, carbon circulates among the surface reservoirs and the interior throughout the history of the Earth. We call this “the global carbon cycle”. For simplicity, in this study we do not consider ordinary metamorphic reactions through which $\text{CO}_2$ might return to the atmosphere. Neither do we take into account an organic carbon cycle, because only 20% of the total amount of surface carbon is involved in the organic carbon cycle [e.g., 23].

For modeling the global carbon cycle, we assumed five carbon reservoirs (the atmosphere, the ocean, the continents, the seafloor and the mantle) and calculated carbon fluxes under varying conditions, such as increase in solar luminosity, continental growth, and decrease in tectonic activities such as the seafloor spreading rate [16]. The mass balance equations among the carbon reservoirs are given, as follows:

$$\frac{dL_{\text{cc}}}{dt} = F_{\text{A}}^{\text{cc}} - F_{\text{W}}^{\text{cc}}$$  (9)

$$\frac{dL_{\text{mc}}}{dt} = F_{\text{A}}^{\text{mc}} - F_{\text{W}}^{\text{mc}}$$  (10)

$$\frac{dP_{\text{cc}}}{dt} = F_{\text{P}}^{\text{cc}} - F_{\text{A}}^{\text{cc}} - F_{\text{M}}^{\text{cc}} - F_{\text{R}}^{\text{cc}}$$  (11)

$$\frac{dP_{\text{mc}}}{dt} = F_{\text{P}}^{\text{mc}} - F_{\text{A}}^{\text{mc}} - F_{\text{M}}^{\text{mc}} - F_{\text{R}}^{\text{mc}}$$  (12)

$$\frac{dC_{\text{mantle}}}{dt} = F_{\text{R}}^{\text{cc}} + F_{\text{R}}^{\text{mc}} - F_{\text{D}}$$  (13)

$$\frac{dM_{\text{Ca}}}{dt} = F_{\text{W}}^{\text{cc}} + F_{\text{W}}^{\text{mc}} + F_{\nu} - F_{\text{P}}^{\text{cc}}$$  (14)

$$\frac{dM_{\text{Mg}}}{dt} = F_{\text{W}}^{\text{mc}} + F_{\text{W}}^{\text{ms}} - F_{\nu} - F_{\text{P}}^{\text{mc}}$$  (15)

where $\text{cc} = \text{calcium carbonate}$, $\text{mc} = \text{magnesium carbonate}$, $\text{cs} = \text{calcium silicate}$ and $\text{ms} = \text{magnesium silicate}$. $L$ represents the continental reservoir, $P$ represents the seafloor reservoir, $M$ represents the oceanic reservoir, and $C_{\text{mantle}}$ represents the amount of carbon in the mantle. $F_w$, $F_p$, $F_{\text{A}}$, $F_{\text{R}}$, $F_M$ and $F_D$ are the fluxes of weathering, carbonate precipitation, carbonate accretion, carbonate regassing (subduction), carbonate metamorphism followed by $\text{CO}_2$ degassing, and $\text{CO}_2$ degassing at the mid-ocean ridge, respectively. $F_{\nu}$ is the flux of calcium ions produced by volcanic–seawater (hydrothermal) reaction [23,24]:

$$\text{Mg}^{2+} + \text{CaSiO}_3 \rightarrow \text{MgSiO}_3 + \text{Ca}^{2+}$$  (16)

The fluxes are expressed as follows:

$$F_{\text{W}} = K_w^t (P_{\text{CO}_2}^t) \cdot L$$  (17)

$$K_w^t = K_w \cdot \left(\frac{P_{\text{CO}_2}^t}{P_{\text{CO}_3}^t}\right)^{0.3} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{T_s - 285}{13.7}\right) \cdot a \cdot b$$  (18)
\[ F_A = A \cdot t_r^{-1} \cdot P \quad (19) \]
\[ F_R = (1 - A) \cdot R \cdot t_r^{-1} \cdot P \quad (20) \]
\[ F_M = (1 - A) \cdot (1 - R) \cdot t_r^{-1} \cdot P \quad (21) \]
\[ F_V = K_V \cdot f_q \cdot M_M^g \quad (22) \]

where \( K_W \) is a constant, \( P_{CO_2} \) is the partial pressure of \( CO_2 \), \( T_s \) is the surface temperature, the superscript \( * \) represents the present value, \( a \) and \( b \) are correction factors [16], \( t_r \) is the average residence time of the oceanic plate, \( A \) and \( R \) are free parameters named accretion ratio and regassing ratio, respectively, and \( f_q \) is the heat flow at a given time normalized by the present heat flow. The accretion ratio is defined as the fraction of seafloor carbonates accreted to the continent to the total seafloor carbonates. The regassing ratio is defined as the fraction of seafloor carbonates regassed into the mantle to the total subducting carbonates. \( F_D \) will be defined in a later section. \( F_p \) is determined so as to sustain a chemical equilibrium among the carbon-related species in the atmosphere, the oceans, and the seafloor, as follows:

\[ CO_2(g) + H_2O \leftrightarrow H_2CO_3 \quad (23) \]
\[ H_2CO_3 \leftrightarrow HCO_3^- + H^+ \quad (24) \]
\[ HCO_3^- \leftrightarrow CO_3^{2-} + H^+ \quad (25) \]
\[ Ca^{2+} + CO_3^{2-} \leftrightarrow CaCO_3 \quad (26) \]
\[ Mg^{2+} + CO_3^{2-} \leftrightarrow MgCO_3 \quad (27) \]

Further details are described in our previous paper [16].

As the external conditions, which strongly affect the terrestrial environment but are not constrained by the global carbon cycle system, we consider the solar luminosity \( L \), the continental surface area \( S_C \), and the seafloor spreading rate \( SR \). The solar luminosity is considered to have increased by 30% during the history of the Earth [12–15]. We adopt the evolution model proposed by Gough [14]:

\[ L(t) = \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{5} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{t^*} \right) \right]^{-1} \cdot L^* \quad (28) \]

where \( L \) is the solar luminosity, \( t \) is the time, and \( * \) represents the present value. We use the continental growth model proposed by Reymer and Schubert [25]. The seafloor spreading rate is determined from the heat flow calculated from the thermal evolution of the mantle, as will be described later. We use the numerical results of Kasting and Ackerman [26] to calculate the surface temperature \( T_s \) as a function of partial pressure of \( CO_2 \) in the atmosphere and the luminosity of the sun.

It is difficult to estimate the ocean pH during the history of the Earth because we do not know the alkalinity or the composition of seawater in the past. Therefore, in our previous paper [16], the pH of the seawater was given as a free parameter. However, Walker [27] estimated possible limits on the composition and pH of the Archean seawater from the geochemical record. According to Walker [27], the composition and pH of seawater were given as a function of the partial pressure of \( CO_2 \) in the atmosphere. We use his result for estimating the ocean pH as a function of partial pressure of \( CO_2 \) in the atmosphere. The ocean pH is iteratively determined by Walker’s estimates so that the atmospheric partial pressure of \( CO_2 \) and pH of seawater may maintain the chemical equilibrium among the carbon-related species expressed as equations (23)–(27), and may also conserve the carbon mass within the atmosphere, ocean and seafloor reservoirs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Values of the constants used in this study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( g )</td>
<td>( 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2 )</td>
<td>acceleration due to gravity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>( 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ K}^{-1} )</td>
<td>thermal expansivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>( 4.2 \text{ W/m K} )</td>
<td>thermal conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \kappa )</td>
<td>( 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} )</td>
<td>thermal diffusivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho C_p )</td>
<td>( 4.2 \times 10^5 \text{ J/m}^3\text{K} )</td>
<td>volumetric specific heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \lambda )</td>
<td>( 3.4 \times 10^{-10} \text{ yr}^{-1} )</td>
<td>average decay constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Ra_{cr} )</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>critical Rayleigh number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Nusselt–Rayleigh exponent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_m )</td>
<td>6271 km</td>
<td>outer mantle radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_c )</td>
<td>3471 km</td>
<td>inner mantle radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_1 )</td>
<td>( 6.4 \times 10^4 \text{ K} )</td>
<td>constant in eq. (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha_2 )</td>
<td>( -6.1 \times 10^6 \text{ K} )</td>
<td>constant in eq. (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{bas} )</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>water content in basalt layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho_c )</td>
<td>( 2.95 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3 )</td>
<td>density of oceanic crust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_{hc} )</td>
<td>( 5 \times 10^3 \text{ m} )</td>
<td>average thickness of hydrated crust</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Thermal evolution model

To estimate the degassing and regassing rates of CO$_2$, which are probably dependent on the seafloor spreading rate or heat flow from the mantle, we need to calculate the thermal evolution of the mantle. We use the parameterized convection model to calculate the whole mantle convection.

The parameterized convection model was developed by many authors [e.g., 20, 28–31]. In this model, the temporal variations of average mantle temperature $T_m$ and heat flow $q$ from the mantle, which is parameterized in terms of the Rayleigh number $Ra$, are calculated. The equation of conservation of energy is given by:

$$\rho C_p \left( R_m^3 - R_c^3 \right) \frac{dT_m}{dt} = -3 R_m^2 q + \left( R_m^3 - R_c^3 \right) Q$$

(29)

where $\rho$ is the density, $C_p$ is the specific heat at constant pressure, and $R_m$ and $R_c$ are the outer and inner radii of the mantle, respectively. $Q$ is the energy production rate by decay of radiogenic heat sources in the mantle:

$$Q = Q_0 \exp(-\lambda t)$$

(30)

where $Q_0$ and $\lambda$ are constants, and $t$ is the time. Mantle heat flow $q$ is parameterized in terms of the Rayleigh number $Ra$ as follows:

$$q = \frac{k(T_m - T_s)}{R_m - R_c} \left( \frac{Ra}{Ra_{cr}} \right)$$

(31)

$$Ra = \frac{g \alpha (T_m - T_s) (R_m - R_c)^3}{\kappa \nu}$$

(32)

where $k$ is the thermal conductivity, $Ra_{cr}$ is the critical Rayleigh number, $\beta$ is a constant empirically determined, $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity, $\alpha$ is the coefficient of thermal expansion, $\kappa$ is the thermal diffusivity, and $\nu$ is the viscosity.

It is known that the kinematic viscosity of the mantle depends on the temperature and volatile content, regarding the latter the water content of the mantle especially [32]. McGovern and Schubert [20] have expressed the parameterization of activation temperature $T_A$ for solid-state creep as a function of water weight fraction $x$ as follows:

$$\nu = \nu_m \exp(T_A/T)$$

$$T_A = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \cdot x$$

(33)

(34)

where $\nu_m$, $\alpha_1$, and $\alpha_2$ are constants [20]. Hence, to estimate the viscosity of the mantle we need to know the temporal variation of the water content of the mantle.

According to the results of McGovern and Schubert [20], changes in water content are compensated by changes in average mantle temperature, so that the mantle evolves with the viscosity and convective vigor required to transfer its internally generated heat. Thus, compared to a mantle with volatile-independent rheology the mantle is hotter as a consequence of degassing and colder as a consequence of regassing [20, 21]. As will be described in the next section, the degassing rate of volatile components depends not only on the seafloor spreading rate but also on the melt generation depth in the mantle, which is related to the average mantle temperature. Hence it is suggested that the global carbon cycle might be coupled with water exchange between the surface and the mantle. Therefore we also consider the global water cycle in addition to the global carbon cycle. Mass balance equations for water reservoirs are as follows:

$$\frac{dW_{\text{surface}}}{dt} = F_{H_2O}^D - F_{H_2O}^R$$

(35)

$$\frac{dW_{\text{mantle}}}{dt} = F_{H_2O}^R - F_{H_2O}^D$$

(36)

where $W_{\text{surface}}$ and $W_{\text{mantle}}$ are the amount of water in the surface and mantle reservoirs, respectively, $F_{H_2O}^D$ is the degassing rate of water, and $F_{H_2O}^R$ is the regassing rate of water.

We use an expression of water regassing rate similar to that of McGovern and Schubert [20], as follows:

$$F_{H_2O}^R = R_{H_2O} \left[ C_{H_2O}^{bas} \cdot (\rho_c \cdot SR \cdot d_{hc}) \right]$$

(37)

where $R_{H_2O}$ is the regassing ratio of water, $C_{H_2O}^{bas}$ is the average mass fraction of water in the basal layer, $\rho_c$ is the density of oceanic crust, and $d_{hc}$ is the average thickness of the hydrated oceanic crust. The regassing ratio of water is defined as the fraction of water regassed into the mantle.
compared to the total subducting water in the hydrated oceanic crust. The degassing rate of carbon was defined in equation (20).

4. Degassing model

Volatile elements in the mantle degas through plate formation at the mid-ocean ridge. When new ocean plates are formed, volatile elements in a certain volume of the mantle degas (Fig. 2). This volume is probably dependent on the seafloor spreading rate $SR$ and the melt generation depth $d_m$ in the mantle. We define the degassing rate of CO$_2$ from the mantle as follows:

$$F_{D_{CO_2}} = K_{D_{CO_2}} \cdot C_{mantle}$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

$$K_{D_{CO_2}} = f_{CO_2} \cdot V_D/V_{mantle} = f_{CO_2} \cdot SR \cdot d_m/V_{mantle}$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

where $K_{D_{CO_2}}$ is the coefficient of degassing rate, $C_{mantle}$ is the amount of carbon in the mantle, $V_D$ is the volume of the mantle from which degassing occurs in unit time, and $V_{mantle}$ is the volume of the mantle. $f_{CO_2}$ is the degassing fraction, defined as the fraction of CO$_2$ that degasses to the surface compared to the total amount of CO$_2$ that is originally included in the mantle degassing volume $V_D$.

When partial melting of mantle material occurs, volatile elements partition into the melt according to their partition coefficients, and then these volatile elements finally degas to the surface when magma erupts and solidifies as oceanic crust at the surface. In addition to this, bubbles are formed when upward moving magma becomes supersaturated with one of its major volatile elements due to a decrease in solubility as the pressure decreases [e.g., 33,34]. Bubbles grow in size because of the diffusional flux of dissolved gases and decompression. Volatile elements in these bubbles would also degas to the surface. The content of the bubbles is dominated by CO$_2$, in general because of its abundance and relatively low solubility [e.g., 34]. The volatile element with greater solubility in the silicate melt would mainly partition to the liquid phase (magma), whereas the volatile element with lower solubility to the silicate melt would partition into the gas (bubble) phase.

We consider a simple case of batch melting as the first approximation. Let us express the mass of solid and liquid phases of mantle materials ($W^S$ and $W^L$, respectively) and the mass of the volatile elements in the solid, liquid, and gas phases ($w^S$, $w^L$, and $w^G$, respectively). The volatile elements in the gas and liquid phases are assumed to degas to the surface. Then the degassing fraction defined by the mass ratio of element partitioning into the liquid and gas phases to the total mass included originally in the degassing volume is given by [35]:

$$f_i = \frac{1}{1 + (w^G/w^L)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

where the melt fraction $F$ is defined by $F = W^L/(W^S + W^L)$ and $K_{S/L}$ is the partition coefficient. The factor $w^G/w^L$ represents the solubility of volatile elements into the silicate melt. When the bubble consists of mixed gas, the factor $w^G/w^L$ is given by [35]:

$$\left(\frac{w^G}{w^L}\right)_i = \frac{\phi m_i}{\rho_c C_i^L V_{M,i}^T n} = \frac{\phi m_i}{\rho_c C_i^L V_{M,i}^P}$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

where $\phi = V^G/V^L$ is the vesicularity of MORB, $m$ is the molecular weight, and $\rho_c$ is the density of oceanic crust. $n$ and $n_i$ are the total molar number and the molar number of the volatile component $i$, respectively, and thus $n_i/n$ represents the molar fraction of gas component $i$ in the vesicles. $V_{M,i}^T$ and $V_{M,i}^P$ are the molar volumes of component $i$ at the total pressure of bubbles.
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Fig. 3. Schematic diagram of the geotherm and solidus in the mantle. $\Delta T$ represents the temperature difference across the thermal boundary layer. The melt generation depth $d_m$ below the mid-ocean ridge is defined by the depth where ascending mantle material intersects the basalt eutectic, and extensive melting and melt segregation occur. We assume that mantle volatile components are released from this depth with the melt segregation.

$(P_B \sim 0.25 \text{ kbar})$ and at its partial pressure $(P_{B,t} = (n, / n) \times P_B)$, respectively.

We obtain $V_{\text{M, CO}_2}^H = 517 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3/\text{mol}$ using the Redlich–Kwong equation of state. If we choose $C_{\text{CO}_2}^T = 100 \times 10^{-6} \text{ g/g}$, $n_{\text{CO}_2}/n \sim 0.8$, $m_{\text{CO}_2} = 44 \times 10^{-3} \text{ kg/mol}$, $\rho_c \sim 3 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/cm}^3$, $\phi \sim 1.5\%$, and $K_s/L = 2.36$, $f_{\text{CO}_2}$ is estimated to be $0.32$ [35].

The degassing rate of water is also defined as follows:

$$F_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = K_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}^H \cdot f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \cdot \frac{\Delta T}{S_m \cdot d_m \cdot V_{\text{mantle}}}$$ (42)

where $f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ is estimated to be $0.194$ [35].

The seafloor spreading rate as a function of mantle heat flow is given by a cooling model of the oceanic plate, such as cooling of a semi-infinite half-space. The relation between the heat flow $q$ and the average residence time of the oceanic plate $t_r$ is expressed as follows [36]:

$$q = \frac{2k \Delta T}{\sqrt{\pi k t_r}}$$ (43)

where $\Delta T$ is the temperature difference across the thermal boundary layer at the surface. We approximate $\Delta T \sim T_p - T_s$, where $T_p$ is the potential temperature of the mantle and $T_s$ is the surface temperature (Fig. 3). The average residence time of the oceanic plate $t_r$ is given by

$$t_r = \frac{S_O}{SR} = \frac{S_E - S_C}{SR}$$ (44)

where $S_O$ is the area of the ocean floor and $S_E$ is the surface area of the Earth. Using eqs. (43) and (44), $SR$ is given by:

$$SR = \frac{\pi k (S_E - S_C)}{(2k \Delta T)^2} \cdot q^2$$ (45)

The melt generation depth below the mid-ocean ridge is about 40 km at present [37]. It is defined by the depth where the ascending mantle material intersects the basalt eutectic, and extensive melting and melt segregation occur at this depth (Fig. 3). We assume that mantle volatile components are released with the melt segregation effectively at this depth. To estimate the melt generation depth at a given time, we need to know the solidus temperature of the mantle material and the temporal variation of potential temperature of the mantle.

McKenzie and Bickle [37] have compiled experimental results on the solidus temperature of mantle material, and parameterized them to obtain an analytical expression:

$$P = \frac{(T_{\text{sol}} - 1100)}{136} + 4.968 \times 10^{-4} \times \exp[1.2 \times 10^{-2}(T_{\text{sol}} - 1100)]$$ (46)

where $P$ is the pressure (GPa) and $T_{\text{sol}}$ is the solidus temperature (°C).

The average mantle temperature $T_m$ obtained by solving the equation of conservation of energy is expressed by:

$$T_m = \frac{\int \rho C_p T(r) \, dV}{\int \rho C_p \, dV}$$ (47)

where $T(r)$ is the actual mantle temperature at position $r$ and the integrals extend over the mantle volume [30].

The potential temperature, $T_p$, on the other hand, is defined as the temperature of a fluid mass compressed or expanded adiabatically to some constant reference pressure (the surface pressure in this case). At present, this potential temperature of the mantle below the mid-ocean ridge is estimated to be 1280°C [37]. The heat transport by mantle convection may result in the adiabatic temperature gradient in the mantle, expressed as $(\partial T/\partial r)_s = -g \alpha T/C_p$. Assuming an adiabatic temperature gradient in the convecting...
mantle, we can obtain the potential temperature by integrating the above equation from a certain depth \((r' = r)\) to the surface \((r = R_s)\):

\[
T_p = T(r) \exp \left( - \int \frac{g \alpha}{C_p} \, dr' \right)
\]

therefore

\[
T(r) = T_p \exp \left( \int \frac{g \alpha}{C_p} \, dr' \right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (49)

Using eq. (49), \(T_m\) is given by:

\[
T_m = \int \rho C_p \exp \left( \int \frac{g \alpha}{C_p} \, dr' \right) \, dV
\]

\[
\int \rho C_p \, dV
\]

therefore

\[
T_p = \int \rho C_p r'^2 \, dr' \cdot \frac{T_m}{\int \rho C_p \, dV}
\]

\[
\gamma = \frac{T_p}{\int \rho C_p r'^2 \, dr'}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (51)

Thus we can estimate the potential temperature from the average temperature of the mantle. We estimated the value of \(\gamma\) to be about 0.6-0.8 by integrating eq. (52) numerically. The uncertainty in the above estimate is mainly due to the uncertainty in the structure of thermal expansivity in the mantle. We use the average value \((\gamma = 0.7)\) in this study. The melt generation depth can be obtained by solving eqs. (46) and (49) numerically under the condition of hydrostatic equilibrium. It is noted that the coefficient of degassing rate varies with time when the seafloor spreading rate and the melt generation depth change.

5. Total amount of carbon

The total amounts of carbon and water are assumed to be given by summation of the amount of these components in the surface and mantle reservoirs, i.e. \(C_{\text{total}} = C_{\text{surface}} + C_{\text{mantle}}\) and \(W_{\text{total}} = W_{\text{surface}} + W_{\text{mantle}}\), where \(C\) and \(W\) represent the amount of carbon and water, respectively.

The present amount of carbon at the surface of the Earth has been estimated by many geochemists \(\text{e.g.,} \ 38-40\). In this study, we assume about \(1 \times 10^{22}\) mol \((\sim 1.2 \times 10^{20} \text{ (C) kg})\) as the present amount of surface carbon, according to the estimate of Ronov and Yaroshevsky \[40\].

The amount of carbon in the mantle is rather difficult to estimate. However, if the CO₂ degassing rate is given by the mantle degassing volume multiplied by the CO₂ concentration in the mantle, the amount of mantle CO₂ can be estimated from the observational data. The present seafloor spreading rate is \(2.84 \times 10^{12} \text{ m}^2/\text{Ma}\) \[36\] and the present melt generation depth is 40 km \[37\]. Thus the mantle degassing volume from which degassing occurs at the present time is \(V_D = SR* \times d_m^* = 11.4 \times 10^{16} \text{ m}^3/\text{Ma}\). Recent estimates of the CO₂ degassing rate at the mid-ocean ridge are about \(2.2 \times 10^{18} \text{ mol/ Ma}\) \[41\]. The abundance of carbon in the mantle is thus obtained from eqs. (38) and (39) as \(C_{\text{mantle}}/V_{\text{mantle}} = F* V_D^* f_{\text{CO}_2} = 156 \text{ ppm}\) \[35\]. This is considered to correspond to the abundance of carbon in the upper mantle. If we assume a homogeneous mantle, we obtain an amount of mantle carbon of about \(5.2 \times 10^{22}\) mol. This is about five times the present amount of surface carbon. The total amount of carbon retained in the surface and mantle reservoirs is thus estimated to be about \(6.2 \times 10^{22}\) mol or \(7.4 \times 10^{20} \text{ (C) kg}\) at present. This estimation derived from assuming a homogeneous mantle would give a lower estimate for the amount of mantle carbon because the upper mantle might be a depleted and degassed mantle. It is, however, noted that an estimate such as the one mentioned above is required for self-consistency of the model.

Although this estimate gives a rather small value of \(C/^{36}\text{Ar}\) in comparison with that of various meteorites \[42\], it does satisfy the upper limit of the amount of CO₂ in the proto-atmosphere, which is constrained by the condition necessary for the formation of the ocean \[43\]. We assume mass conservation of carbon within the surface reservoirs and the mantle throughout the entire history of the Earth.
The amount of surface water is \(7.8 \times 10^{22}\) mol at present. We can estimate the abundance of mantle water by using a method similar to that used for carbon. The degassing rate of \(H_2O\) at the mid-ocean ridge was estimated to be \(~1.1 \times 10^{17}\) kg/Ma by Ito et al. [44]. Therefore, the amount of mantle water is estimated to be 0.1 ppm or \(2.4 \times 10^{23}\) mol [35].

6. Initial conditions and other model parameters

During the accretion of the Earth, volatile components in planetesimals should have been degassed by impacts, because the peak pressure produced by impacts is high enough to cause impact degassing [3]. The amount of impact-induced steam atmosphere might be determined by the dissolution equilibrium between the atmosphere and the magma ocean [1–4], although detailed chemical forms and the volatile partitioning between the mantle and the core may depend on the process of core formation and the oxygen fugacity in the proto-mantle. According to Matsui and Abe [5,6], the initial amount of water at the surface is \(W_{\text{surface}}^0 \sim W_{\text{surface}}^*\), where the superscript 0 represents the initial value and * represents the present value. The degree of melting of the magma ocean is estimated to be about 10% for the most probable case [2]. From the distribution coefficient of \(CO_2\) at this degree of melting, about 80% of \(CO_2\) occurs in the atmosphere [42]. If the total amount of carbon at the end of accretion remains in the surface layers, the initial amount of carbon at the surface is \(C_{\text{surface}}^0 = 0.8 \cdot C_{\text{total}}\). We call the model with these initial conditions the standard case, and name it “case 1”.

For comparison, we consider two other cases with different initial amounts of volatile components at the surface. One is the case for no initial atmosphere (case 2: \(C_{\text{surface}}^0 = 0, W_{\text{surface}}^0 = 0\)) and the other is the completely degassed case (case 3: \(C_{\text{surface}}^0 = C_{\text{total}}, W_{\text{surface}}^0 = W_{\text{total}}\)).

The initial average temperature of the mantle would have a significant influence on the early degassing history, but it cannot be determined from the thermal evolution model. This is because the mantle cannot retain any information on its initial condition at present [e.g., 29,31]. Nevertheless we consider that the hot origin of the Earth is more reasonable than the cold one because the proto-Earth would retain a large amount of gravitational energy liberated during accretion and core formation [e.g., 1–4]. The initial temperature profile of the proto-mantle might be approximated by the solidus temperature.

![Fig. 4. Temporal variations of mantle viscosity, average mantle temperature and mantle heat flow for case 1. The initial mantle temperature is assumed to be 2000–3000 K (the hatched area). These results are qualitatively similar to those of previous studies [20,28–31].](image-url)
However, we assumed simply the initial average mantle temperature to be 2000–3000 K in this study.

Other parameters are determined as follows: The value of the coefficient of radiogenic heat production rate $Q_0$ is iteratively adjusted so that the mantle heat flow at $t = 4.6 \times 10^9$ yrs may be equal to the present value ($q^* = 70 \text{ mW/m}^2$ [36]). The accretion ratio $A$ affects both the amount of surface carbon and its distribution among surface reservoirs, whereas the regassing ratio $R$ does not have a large affect on the distribution and amount of surface carbon [16]. We assume the accretion ratio to be around 0.7, as discussed in our previous paper [16]. The regassing ratio of water $R_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ is iteratively adjusted so that the amount of surface water at $t = 4.6 \times 10^9$ yrs may be equal to the present value ($= 1.4 \times 10^{21}$ kg). The other parameter values are summarized in Table 1.

7. Numerical results

Figure 4 shows the numerical results for case 1. The temporal variation of mantle viscosity, average mantle temperature, and mantle heat flow are shown for the initial average temperature 2000–3000 K. These physical quantities begin to converge rapidly into a very narrow range during the early stage of Earth history. This means, as mentioned previously, that the present mantle has not retained any information on the initial mantle temperature. The average mantle temperature and mantle heat flow decreased monotonically thereafter, but the mantle viscosity increased with a decrease in mantle temperature. In our model the mantle viscosity is assumed to depend on the mantle temperature and water content. The mantle temperature is therefore determined so that convective vigor for internal heat transfer may be sustained, which compensates the change in viscosity due to change in water content [see 20].

Figure 5 shows the temporal variation of seafloor spreading rate, melt generation depth and mantle degassing volume for case 1. The initial mantle temperature is assumed to be 2000–3000 K (the hatched area). Note that the mantle degassing volume was much greater in the Hadean and Archean periods than at present. This implies more effective degassing in the Hadean and Archean.

Figure 6 shows the temporal variations in the rate of CO$_2$ degassing and regassing and the amount of surface carbon for case 1. As expected, both degassing and regassing rates in the Hadean and Archean were much greater than those of today. Regassing rather than degassing was much more effective in the early stage because 80% of the total amount of carbon had initially been at the surface. In this case, as the degassing and regassing rates became balanced, the amount of surface carbon approached a stationary level. This feature is also seen in the results for the other cases.

Figure 7 shows the temporal variation of the amount of surface carbon for three cases. In each case, the amount of surface carbon approaches the present level. This may imply that the present state of the surface carbon is in a steady state and the present amount is not influenced by the ini-
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Fig. 6. Temporal variations of CO₂ degassing and regassing rates and the amount of surface carbon for case 1 (the initial mantle temperature is 3000 K). Both degassing and regassing rates in the Hadean and Archean are much greater than those of today. When the degassing and regassing rates become equally balanced the amount of surface carbon approaches a stationary level.

Fig. 7. Temporal variations in the amount of surface carbon for three cases (the initial mantle temperature is 3000 K). Note that in every case the amount of surface carbon reaches a stationary level.

Fig. 8. Temporal variations in the amount of surface water for three cases (the initial mantle temperature is 3000 K). The amount of surface water increases at the early stage of evolution and then slightly decreases to the present value.

Fig. 9. Temporal variations of the content of the surface carbon reservoirs and the surface temperature for case 1. The amount of CO₂ in the proto-atmosphere has decreased with time, which results in stabilization of the surface temperature against an increase in the solar luminosity. The reason is as follows: once the continents were formed, they supplied a large quantity of cations to the oceans by weathering, resulting in consumption of atmospheric CO₂ and thus an increase in precipitation of carbon as carbonates on the seafloor. The partial pressure of atmospheric CO₂ in the Archean was probably about 100–1000 times the present value, thus being required for maintaining the surface temperature above the freezing point of water at that time. Because of a negative feedback mechanism for the surface temperature, which is a crucial fea-
tecture of the carbon cycle system [e.g., 16,17], the temperature would have been maintained around the present value after the continents grew to almost their present size (> 1.0 Ga). This suggests that even when we take into account the degassing rate of CO$_2$ coupled with the thermal history of the mantle, the terrestrial environment was stabilized by a negative feedback mechanism for the surface temperature by the time large continents were formed [see 16]. However, it is noted that the effective weathering rate might be high during the first 1.0 Ga of Earth’s history. This is because impacts during heavy bombardment would stir up much fine ejecta, which might be weathered more effectively. As a consequence, the partial pressure of CO$_2$ and the surface temperature might have been lower at that time.

The distribution of carbon among the atmosphere and the ocean is determined by the pH of the ocean, which we estimated iteratively in this study. The temporal variation of the pH of the seawater and partial pressure of CO$_2$ in the atmosphere are shown in Fig. 10. A decrease in the partial pressure of CO$_2$ in the atmosphere results in maintaining the surface temperature around its present value. Seeing as we use the relationship between the ocean pH and the partial pressure of atmospheric CO$_2$ proposed by Walker [27], the ocean pH increases with the decrease in partial pressure of CO$_2$ from about 5 to the present value 8. The corresponding temporal variations of the amount of bicarbonate, carbonate, calcium and magnesium ions in the ocean are shown in Fig. 11. The amount of bicarbonate ions decreases with a decrease in atmospheric CO$_2$. The amount of carbonate ions, however, increases with time. This is because the amount of carbonate ions is strongly dependent on the pH of the seawater. The ratio of bicarbonate ion to carbonate ion depends on the pH value $\eta$, such that $M_{\text{HCO}_3^-}/M_{\text{CO}_3^{2-}} = a_{\text{H}^+}^{-\eta}$, where $a_{\text{H}^+}$ is the activity of the hydrogen ion (see eq. 25). Hence the ratio $M_{\text{HCO}_3^-}/M_{\text{CO}_3^{2-}}$ decreases with the increase in the pH of the seawater, which results in an increase in the amount of carbonate ion with
time. The calcium ion is always in chemical equilibrium with calcium carbonate (calcite), and thus the calcium ion decreases with time. The magnesium ion, however, is determined from a balance between the supply of the magnesium ion by weathering of the continents and consumption by hydrothermal reaction at the mid-ocean ridge over the entire history of the Earth. The magnesium ion is not in chemical equilibrium with magnesium carbonate (magnesite). This differs from the result of the previous study [16], in which pH was assumed to be constant (present value). In such cases, the magnesium ion is in chemical equilibrium with magnesite until the early Archean [16].

8. Discussion

The temporal variation of seafloor spreading rate exerts a significant influence on volatile exchange between the surface reservoirs and the mantle. Seafloor spreading followed by subduction results in circulation of surface carbon into the mantle. The amount (or the frequency) of carbon circulation depends on variation in the seafloor spreading rate over the history of the Earth. The circulation of carbon between the surface reservoirs and the mantle is related to carbon isotope variation. Carbon has two stable isotopes, $^{12}$C and $^{13}$C. The difference in $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C is usually expressed as $\delta^{13}$C, and is defined as follows:

$$\delta^{13}$C = \left( \frac{^{13}$C/^{12}$C}_{\text{sample}} \right) \frac{^{13}$C/^{12}$C}_{\text{standard}} - 1 \times 1,000$‰ (53)$$

where the subscript "standard" conventionally refers to the carbonate skeleton of the fossil Peedee Belemnite, whose $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C is 88.99. The $\delta^{13}$C values of the carbonate and the organic carbon are known to have been almost constant, about 0%o and $-25$%o respectively, since at least the oldest record, which dates back to 3.5 billion years (and probably 3.8 billion years) ago [45–47].

The $\delta^{13}$C in the mantle has also been estimated to be constant (about $-5$%o), from samples such as old diamonds, carbonatites, carbonate from kimberlites and present-day mid-ocean ridge basalt [45–48]. The constancy of these isotope data suggests that the mantle carbon ($\delta^{13}$C = $-5$%o) was degassed to the surface, where carbon has been depositing as carbonate ($\delta^{13}$C = 0%o) and organic carbon ($\delta^{13}$C = $-25$%o) at a constant ratio of 4:1 since at least 3.5 billion years ago [45–47].

This causes the problem of the effect of isotope fractionation associated with the subduction of carbonate and organic carbon into the mantle. The degree of metamorphism at a subduction zone differs, in general, between carbonate and organic carbon. This means that the carbon isotope regassed into the mantle would be different from that degassed into the atmosphere. Hence the carbon isotope in the mantle would have varied through carbon exchange between the surface and the mantle during the history of the Earth. However, the present-day mantle inferred from the mid-ocean ridge basalts and hydrothermal fluids still retains the $\delta^{13}$C value of around $-5$%o [e.g., 48].

Although the volatile exchange rates would have been greater during the earliest evolution of the Earth (Fig. 6), the frequency of carbon circulation between the surface reservoirs and the mantle becomes important only after the organic activities, which fractionate the carbon isotopes, become effective. Such activities became effective about 3.5–3.8 billion years ago [46]. We do not know how much the carbon isotope would be fractionated in one cycle, but it may be reasonably assumed that the total volume of degassed mantle since $3.5 \times 10^9$ yrs ago is, at least, less than the total volume of the mantle:

$$\int f_{CO_2} \cdot V_D(t) \, dt < V_{mantle}$$

where $f_{CO_2}$ is the degassing fraction of CO$_2$, $V_D$ is the degassing volume per unit time and $V_{mantle}$ is the volume of the mantle. We therefore define the frequency of carbon circulation through the mantle reservoir $N_{mantle}$ by the following relation and give the above condition as follows:

$$N_{mantle} = \frac{\int f_{CO_2} \cdot V_D(t) \, dt}{V_{mantle}} < 1 \quad (55)$$

The frequencies of carbon circulation between the surface reservoirs and the mantle after 3.5 billion years ago are estimated from our numerical simulation, as shown in Table 2. These values do not depend much on the initial average man-
TABLE 2

Frequencies of carbon circulation after 3.5 billion years ago

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seafloor spreading rate</th>
<th>Initial mantle temperature (K)</th>
<th>$N_{\text{surface}}$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{mantle}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>variable***</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>constant</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $N_{\text{surface}}$ represents the frequency of carbon circulation through the surface reservoir
** $N_{\text{mantle}}$ represents the frequency of carbon circulation through the mantle reservoir

The frequency of carbon circulation is determined not by the convective vigor in the mantle but by the balance of forces such as the slab pull and slab resistance [50]. The frequency of carbon circulation through the surface reservoirs $N_{\text{surface}}$ is about 1–2 in this case (Table 2). This is because $N_{\text{surface}} \sim 5N_{\text{mantle}}$ (i.e., the mantle carbon reservoir is about five times larger than the surface carbon reservoir, as estimated earlier).

Carbon isotope mixing in the mantle after subduction of seafloor sediments would actually be related to mantle dynamics, but this goes beyond the purposes of this study.

We found that the present amount of surface carbon is in steady state and that it could not be influenced by the initial amount of surface carbon. Here we discuss the stability of the present carbon cycle system. For this purpose, we need to estimate the response time against the perturbation for surface carbon under present conditions. The mass balance equation for the present surface carbon in steady state is given by:

$$\frac{dC_{\text{surface}}}{dt} = F_D^* - F_R^*$$

where

$$K_D^* = f_{CO_2} \cdot V_D^* / V_{mantle} \sim 4.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{Ma}^{-1}$$

$$K_R^* = (1 - A) R / t_{\text{deg}} \sim 1.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{Ma}^{-1}$$

When $C_{\text{surface}}$ is perturbed to be $C_{\text{surface}} + \delta C$ by sudden large (or small) degassing (or regassing), eq. (56) becomes:

$$\frac{d(C_{\text{surface}} + \delta C)}{dt} = K_D^* (C_{\text{mantle}} - \delta C)$$

$$- K_R^* (P^* + \delta C)$$

Here we assume that the amount of perturbed carbon $\delta C$ affects the amount of seafloor carbonate $P$ directly through the continental weathering followed by the carbonate precipitation. Therefore, by subtracting eq. (56) from eq. (59) we obtain the following equation:

$$\frac{d\delta C}{dt} = -(K_D^* + K_R^*) \cdot \delta C$$

This equation can be solved easily, as follows:

$$\delta C = \delta C_0 \cdot e^{-(K_D^* + K_R^*) t}$$
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where \( \delta C^0 \) is a constant. Hence the response time \( \tau \) for the perturbation is:

\[
\tau \sim \left( K_D^* + K_R^* \right)^{-1} \sim 875 \text{ Ma} \tag{62}
\]

This supports the idea that the present carbon cycle might be in steady state. It would take less than 900 million years to achieve the present-day steady state against the perturbation for the surface carbon due to sudden large-scale degassing or small-scale regassing. The present carbon cycle system would not be influenced by the initial amount of surface carbon because of this short characteristic time scale.

9. Summary

The main results of this study can be summarized as follows:

(1) The amount of surface carbon at the present time seems to be in steady state. Hence the present amount of surface carbon may not be influenced by the initial amount of surface carbon.

(2) The response time of the global carbon cycle system against the perturbation for surface carbon under the present condition is estimated to be less than 900 million years.

(3) Continental growth is required for the terrestrial environment to be stabilized against an increase in the solar luminosity.

(4) In the Archean the partial pressure of CO₂ in the atmosphere would have been about 100–1000 times the present level. This is probably one reason why a climate similar to that of the present Earth prevailed under the lower solar constant at that time.

(5) Ocean pH might have been lower in the past, the result of higher partial pressure of CO₂. Calcium ions in the ocean have been in chemical equilibrium with carbonate ions throughout the history of the Earth. Magnesium ions, however, have been out of equilibrium with magnesite. Consumption of magnesium ions by hydrothermal reaction at the mid-ocean ridges and its supply by continental weathering would have been balanced over the history of the Earth.

(6) The seafloor spreading rate might have been almost constant throughout the history of the Earth to explain the frequency of carbon circulation into the mantle; this is suggested from the apparent constancy of the carbon isotope ratio in the mantle since about 3.5 billion years ago.

(7) Carbon at the surface may probably have been circulated between the surface reservoirs and the mantle once or twice since the Archean.

(8) The amount of CO₂ in the present mantle is estimated to be more than \( 5 \times 10^{22} \) mol (about five times the present surface value).
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